



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,427	01/29/2002	Jeroen Anton Johan Leijten	NL 010073	6839
24737	7590 08/19/2004		EXAM	INER
	TELLECTUAL PROPE	RTY & STANDARDS	RIZZUTO,	KEVIN P
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIFI	01 FMANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2183	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/059,427	LEIJTEN, JEROEN ANTON JOHAN	
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P Rizzuto	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	3 ()	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extension 11.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	BEST AVAILA		
Attachment(s)	wed hanly	ore Copy	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/059,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

2. Restrictions to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC § 121:

I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a computer system or method for processing

instructions wherein the instructions inform the processor as to how to control a

part of processing when an instruction crosses a boundary to a subsequent

memory line, classified in Class 712, subclass 207.

II. Claims 13-15, drawn to a method of generating a program, e.g. a compiler, for

a prefetch unit within the processor, classified in Class 717, subclass 161.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as sub-combinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The sub-combinations are distinct from each other if

they are shown to be separately usable.

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as processing

instructions with information encoded in an instruction to control a part of

processing when an instruction crosses a boundary to a subsequent memory

line. These instructions do not need the claimed compiler in order to be created,

they can be created by any means so long as they are formatted correctly, such

as by a human programmer. Therefore, the processor does not depend on the

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/059,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

compiler to function. So long as instructions are provided for the processor in the proper format, it does not matter to the processor what was the source of the instructions.

Invention II has separate utility such as use for generating a program of instructions for use by a computing system that contains information on how to control any part of processing. The compiler does not have future knowledge of what type/kind of processor will execute the generated program. The program can later be executed without the need for the specific claimed processor. The additional information provided within the program can be used for different purposes by different processors, such as for a cache refresh or flush, or a particular processor version can simply ignore the information and still correctly execute the program.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).
- 6. A telephone call was made to Michael Marion on July 30th, 2004, a receptionist instructed examiner to call Michael Ure in regards to application 10/059427. Telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/059,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 2183

calls to Michael Ure were made on August 9th,10th and 11th 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The phone calls were not answered and the voice messages were not returned.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin P Rizzuto whose telephone number is (703) 305-6783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

BEST AVAILABLE COPY